

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/603,593	06/25/2003	Yogesh Swami	NOKM.046PA	4865	
75	7590 04/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Hollingsworth & Funk, LLC			JONES, PRENELL P		
Suite 125			L PER LEUR	D. DED 150 (DED	
8009 34th Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, MN 55425			2616		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/603,593	SWAMI, YOGESH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Prenell P. Jones	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNITY 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/3/6	<u>06</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.	-	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	1. .		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) <u>20-33</u> is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers		•	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	·	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			•
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Burea			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ot received.	
·		:	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intende	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) Notice of 6) Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Regarding amended claim 1, Applicant is claiming, "independently of the receipt of loss notification signals", which is not described in the specification. Claims 2-19 depend on claim 1, therefore claims 2-19 are rejected as well.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claims 20-33 are allowed over prior art.
- 2. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the combined cited prior art discloses a communication system whereby the receiver distinguishes between packets received with non-congestion bit errors, they fail to teach or suggest with respect to claim 20, a packet marking module coupled to receive PLB indications and to mark the respective previously-transmitted packets as potentially subject to PLB, and a verification module coupled to receive a packet loss indication corresponds to any of the

previously-transmitted packets that have been marked, and with respect to claim 30, a packet marking module coupled to receive at least a portion of the loss notification signal and to mark the packet as potentially subject to non-congestion based packet loss, and copying as many bytes from the non-congestion packet loss as can fit in the signal protocol packet in the network layer packet, setting a slow start threshold equal to a number of packets in flight until packet loss is acknowledged, dropping the signaling protocol packet if transport layer protocol in next header field is not among predetermined group of transport layer protocols, identifying a transport protocol in a next field, and incrementing a congestion window for each duplicate acknowledge received.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 571-272-3180. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 571-272-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prenell P. Jones

April 12, 2006

WELLINGTON CHIN
FRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER